EXHIBIT 4

1

TODD KING, VOL I, 7-22-08

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 3 4 W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his) capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL) 5 OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and) OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE 6 ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,) in his capacity as the 7 TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES) FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, 8 Plaintiff, 9)4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ vs. 10 TYSON FOODS, INC., et al, 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 15 VOLUME I VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TODD KING, 16 produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants in 17 the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 23rd day of July, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of 18 19 Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Marlene Percefull, 20 a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under 21 and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma. 22 23 24 25

TODD KING, VOL I, 7-22-08

69

1	pasti	ares of the highest order we've ever had. How in	10:54AM		
2	the v	world do you gauge an event like that?			
3		MR. BLAKEMORE: Object to the form.			
4	A	I mean, there are extreme events that obviously			
5	may e	exceed whatever the gauge was calibrated to. I'm	10:54AM		
6	not s	sure that the numbers I've utilized have been more			
7	on th	on the average daily flow basis. I'm not sure if those			
8	excee	exceedances, those high infrequent events, would impact			
9	subst	cantially the analysis if there are errors there.			
10	Q	In Paragraph 2.3, you	10:55AM		
11	A	On Page 6?			
12	Q	Yes, sir.			
13	A	Okay.			
14	Q	You appear to limit your analyzes to phosphorus			
15	bacteria total nitrogen, is that true? 10:55AM				
16	A	For the purposes of trying to quantify the			
17	different remedial measures, I tried to generalize to				
18	these three forms to facilitate the discussions and				
19	presentation.				
20	Q	There's no discussion in here of heavy metals?	10:56AM		
21	A	No, sir.			
22	Q	And why didn't you address the issue of heavy			
23	metals?				
24	A	That wasn't identified as one of the injuries to			
25	me at	t the get-go.	10:56AM		

TODD KING, VOL I, 7-22-08

70

1	Q	Okay. And the person or people who would have 10:56AM				
2	iden	identified those injuries to you would include Roger				
3	Olse	Olsen, correct?				
4	A	Yes, sir.				
5	Q	And who else? 10:56AM				
6	A	I'm not sure.				
7	Q	All right. None of those people said to you, hey,				
8	Todd	, we're having a heavy metal problem in the				
9	Illi	nois River Valley, isn't that true?				
10	A	Not for the purposes of the scope of this 10:56AM				
11	docu	ment, no.				
12	Q	Well, if there's not if there were a problem,				
13	you	would have addressed it in terms of the remediation				
14	of t	hat problem, isn't that true?				
15	A	Within the scope of the work, which was pretty 10:56AM				
16	much	the previous section.				
17	Q	And heavy metals were outside the scope of your				
18	work	work?				
19	A	Yes, sir.				
20	Q	And they were outside of the scope of your work 10:57AM				
21	beca	use Roger Olsen and others told you that there's no				
22	reas	reason to consider heavy metals?				
23		MR. BLAKEMORE: Object to the form.				
24	A	I don't know if I would go that far. They're				
25	outs	outside of the scope, yes. Why they're outside of the 10:57AM				

TODD KING, VOL I, 7-22-08

71 1 scope, I don't know why. 10:57AM 2 Arsenic is outside the scope? Yes, sir. 3 Now, in 2.3.2, you talk about bacteria and you 4 state that, "The relevant pathway for bacteria is the 5 10:57AM precipitation-based transport of bacteria from land 6 7 when poultry waste has been applied to groundwater." Yes, sir. 8 9 Q What about surface water? 10 Surface water in terms of? 10:58AM Bacteria. Why didn't you mention surface water? 11 Primarily because drinking water supplies that are 12 13 connected to surface water disinfect, so that pathway 14 is kind of addressed through that. So you don't recognize the potential for human 15 10:58AM health risks from the ingestion of surface water? 16 That wasn't a focus of this report. 17 Α 18 That was not a focus? 0 19 Α And it -- if it were a problem. You would have 20 10:59AM focused on it, true? 21 22 Well, again, cessation would address that within a 23 year or so, so --24 Would you tell the court and the jury how the

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

chicken litter is typically applied to the land for

25

10:59AM

1	Q	So if it's not going to be effective, there's no	11:22AM
2	reas	son to discuss it further, correct?	
3	A	Yes. These would be screening criteria that we	
4	woul	d apply to eliminate technology.	
5	Q	Yes, sir. Because that would be a waste of money?	11:22AM
6	A	Yes, sir.	
7	Q	And effort?	
8	A	Yes, sir.	
9	Q	Have you ever heard of a hormone called Estradiol?	
10		MR. McDANIEL: Estradiol.	11:22AM
11	Q	Estradiol?	
12	A	I've heard of it.	
13	Q	Huh?	
14	A	I've heard of it.	
15	Q	Have you heard of it in the context of this	11:22AM
16	laws	suit?	
17	A	Not that comes to mind, no. Perhaps as one of the	
18	thin	ngs that was investigated, but again	
19	Q	But the	
20	A	I vaguely recall.	11:22AM
21	Q	The remediation of Estradiol is not you were	
22	not	tasked with discussing that issue, were you?	
23	A	No, sir.	
24	Q	It was not within the scope of your work?	
25	A	Correct.	11:23AM